

The Times' Daily Short Story.

A Dinner Engagement.

[Original.]

The Terrytroves before their marriage had both been averse to giving up their independence. Bob Terrytrove had declared that it would be impossible for him to avoid innocent attention to other women, and Sophie Goodwin—that was her maiden name—had said she didn't wish to be watched any more than he did. Therefore it was agreed that each should be entitled to the broadest latitude. As Miss Goodwin remarked:

"It doesn't matter what you do if your heart is only true. And his heart was true to Pol."

Such an agreement was bound to work more in favor of one than of the other, and in this case Terrytrove had the advantage, because while his wife became domestic he still loved to rove. However, she had perfect confidence that, no matter what he did, "his heart was true to Pol." So she gave him, as agreed, a free rein, under which he pranced to his heart's content. Nevertheless she was interested in his little affairs, and he maintained that he had a right to keep them to himself.

Mr. Terrytrove one day sent a telephone message.

"Is that you, Bettie?"

"Who is it?"

"Bob Terrytrove. Who are you?"

"Oh, you're Bob! Well?"

"Can you dine with me at the Buckingham Friday evening?"

"With pleasure."

"That's very good of you."

"You must promise not to talk sweet to me."

"Oh, I couldn't keep that promise. You're too lovely, you know."

"Well, you mustn't talk very sweet. Keep your veriest sweetness for the one you love best."

"Then I shall talk my veriest. Ha, ha!"

"You naughty fellow!"

"Be sure to be there."

"All right. Goodbye."

"Goodbye."

On Friday morning at breakfast Mrs. Terrytrove said to her husband:

"Bob, I see they're going to give the 'Flying Dutchman' tonight. I've never seen it and always wanted to see it. Do you suppose you could get seats?"

"Tonight! Oh, no. It's too late. You should have thought of it before."

"Try."

"No use. I couldn't get seats in the top balcony."

"I'm going downtown this morning. I'll see what I can do."

"I'm going to be very busy today. I'll try to get home in time should you get the seats, but if I don't you can

get some friend to go with you. I dare say Ned Stewart would be delighted."

"I'll manage somehow."

This was a relief to the husband, who gave his wife a loving kiss and went to business. During the day he received a telephone call from his wife that she had secured excellent seats and he must be sure to come home in time to go with her. By this time he had it all thought out, and he informed her that a man was in town he must see. She seemed much disappointed, but said she supposed pleasure must give way to business. She also informed her husband that she, too, had made an engagement for the evening, which she hadn't cared to keep, but now that he couldn't go to the opera with her she would give the tickets away. So it was all very nicely settled—for Bob Terrytrove.

When Bob went to the Buckingham, he was informed that a lady had left a message for him; that she might be a little late for dinner, but he was to order the dinner and she would join him at the table. Bob went to the cafe and was deeply immersed in the menu, a slip of paper and a pencil when he looked up and saw his wife drawing out a chair to sit down opposite him.

"W-h-y, Sophie?"

"You seem surprised, dearie."

"Surprised! W-h-y, I thought you were going."

"I was going to the opera with you, but I'd made a previous engagement with you for dinner, and since I couldn't have the music I concluded to take the dinner."

"But—I don't understand."

"Didn't you telephone me from the office several days ago to dine with you here today?"

A blank stare.

"And weren't you to talk your veriest sweetness to me?"

Still the stare. It was now a gaze.

"Come, begin your veriest. I'm dying to hear it."

"Sophie," said the husband at last, "how have I blundered?"

"Well, Bobbie, the only way I can account for it—you're awfully absent-minded, you know—is that when you called Bet you asked for the number most familiar to you, your own."

"By Jove! I wonder if I did?"

"Well, go on ordering the dinner. I'm frightfully hungry."

A waiter set a silver bucket on the floor beside the table containing champagne in ice.

"Open that!" said Terrytrove to the waiter.

The bottle was opened, the glasses filled, and Terrytrove, raising his glass, said:

"Sweetheart, here's to our agreement. It's done a lot of good in breaking us in to the new harness, but it's served its purpose. Let's drink to its memory."

"In silence."

"Thank you, love. That's very kind of you. It's the best part of the toast."

EMMALINE C. BURKE.

GIRL FOUND IN WOODS

Had Been Missing Since Monday Morning

FAITHFUL DOG WITH HER

Followed Her in Her Travels and Was Her Faithful Guardian—Girl Completely Exhausted When She Was Found.

West Hanover, Mass., July 3.—Miss Edith Cox, who has been missing from her home since Monday morning, was found late yesterday in the woods, completely exhausted. The faithful dog which guarded her on her travels was lying beside her. Miss Cox had to be carried to her home.

Miss Cox, who comes from Dorchester, has been ill for many weeks. Monday she escaped from her nurse. Shortly after three o'clock she called at the home of Martin Hanson and asked for a drink of water. When it was given her, instead of drinking it, she gave it to the dog. He took one swallow. Then she said: "I thought you were thirsty."

The dog wagged his tail and the two went on.

When the Bowker boys saw Miss Cox her hair was flying about her face. She wore no hat. In one hand she carried a stick and in the other what appeared to be a piece of cloth.

The dog, which accompanied her, is owned by Capt. Crowell of Boston. The animal has been with Miss Cox for only two weeks, but seemed to take a great fancy to her and has followed her everywhere.

Miss Cox is the daughter of Mr. and Mrs. John Cox. Her father died some months ago. Her mother is in Hanover, at the home of William Delapoy on Curtis street. Mrs. Cox said:

"Edith has not been well for a long time. I think she has been mourning because of her father's death. When she left home Monday I thought she had gone to visit friends and would return of her own accord. I can't explain her act."

WHIMS AND FANCIES.

Parisian Neckwear—Colored Handkerchiefs For Neck Fixings.

A great variety of laundered collars proves the favor with which this stylish starched piece of neckwear is regarded. A novel bit of lingerie is the Parisian collar of fine white linen, the upper part tucked vertically in groups of three narrow tucks. Halfway of its length the tucks are released and the fullness flares out, giving the effect of a waving rift, making it much more becoming than the rigid outline of a straight band of starched linen.

More and more one sees delicate bits of colored contrast used in embroidery on turnover collars and rebats, in colored edges on the butterfly neckties, and frilly front plaits that go with a certain style of wash blouse that is a great favorite with the younger girls. Colored bordered and embroidered handkerchiefs are equally popular, and these, by the way, are easily transformed into bewitchingly pretty neck fixings.

Such bizarre sleeves are being worn, some of them being drawn down under the high belt and fastened with buttons to the skirt, some draped across on to the back of the bodice. They are more like draperies than sleeves.

Touches of black are introduced upon gowns this season regardless of

Verdict for Dr. Pierce

AGAINST THE Ladies' Home Journal.

Sending truth after a lie. It is an old maxim that "a lie will travel seven leagues while truth is getting its boots on," and no doubt hundreds of thousands of good people read the unwarranted and malicious attack upon Dr. R. V. Pierce and his "Favorite Prescription," published in the May (1904) number of the Ladies' Home Journal, with its great black display headings, with its insinuations, with its groveling retractions, with its inconspicuous headings, published two months later. It was boldy charged in the standard and the Ladies' Home Journal that Dr. Pierce's "Favorite Prescription" for the cure of woman's weaknesses and ailments, contained alcohol and other harmful ingredients. Dr. Pierce promptly brought suit against the publishers of the Ladies' Home Journal for \$50,000 damages.

Dr. Pierce alleged that Mr. Bok, the editor, maliciously published the article containing such false and defamatory matter with the intent of injuring his business, furthermore, that no alcohol, or other harmful, or habit-forming, drugs are, or ever were, contained in his "Favorite Prescription," that said journal had been made from native medicinal roots and contained no harmful ingredients whatsoever, and that Mr. Bok's malicious statement was wholly and absolutely false.

In the retractions published by said journal they were urged to acknowledge that they had obtained analyses of "Favorite Prescription" from eminent chemists, all of whom certified that it contained no alcohol, or other harmful ingredients, and that the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.

These facts were also proven in the trial of the action in the Supreme Court. But the business of Dr. Pierce was greatly injured by the publication of the libelous article with its great display headings, white hundreds of thousands of readers of the Ladies' Home Journal never saw the humble groveling retractions, set in small type and made as inconspicuous as possible. The matter was ever brought before a jury in the Supreme Court of New York State which promptly rendered a verdict in the doctor's favor. Thus his traducers came to grief and their base slanders were refuted.